



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,246		01/18/2001	Jonathan Lowthert	INTL-0510-US (P10479)	8160	
21906	7590	04/25/2005		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
TROP PRU	INER & I	HU, PC	DEMICCO, M	DEMICCO, MATTHEW R		
8554 KATY SUITE 100	FREEWA	AY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON,	HOUSTON, TX 77024			2611		
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commence	09/765,246	LOWTHERT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew R Demicco	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	November 2004.					
'=	•	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 2004</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ection is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/765,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an amendment filed 11/01/2004. Claims 1-28 and 30-32 are pending. Claims 1-4, 11, 20-21 and 30 are amended. Claim 29 is canceled. Claims 31-32 are new. The objections to the specification and drawings are withdrawn in light of the amendment.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 9-11, 18-19 and 21-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 9-11 and 19-22 of copending Application No. 09/764,748. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are obvious variations.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,246

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 1 of the instant application corresponds to Claim 1 of the '246 application.

Claim 1 differs in that it collects information about a characteristic of a receiver and provides the information to a remote system to select a subset of advertising from a database for use with the receiver. This is an obvious variation because collecting information, reporting the information to a remote system and selecting an ad from a database based on the information is well known in the art as disclosed by U.S. Patent No. 6,029,045 to Picco et al. Such a modification is desirable in order to increase revenue from advertisers by presenting ads to a user that relate to programming and products they are most likely to be interested in and subsequently purchase.

Claims 9-10 of the instant application correspond to Claims 9-10 of the '748 application.

Claim 11 of the instant application corresponds to Claim 11 of the '748 application. Claim 11 differs in the same manner as Claim 1 above.

Claims 18-19 of the instant application correspond to Claims 19-20 of the '748 application.

Claim 21 of the instant application corresponds to Claim 21 of the '748 application. Claim 21 differs in the same manner as Claim 1 above.

Claim 22 of the instant application corresponds to Claim 22 of the '748 application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,246 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,029,045 to Picco et al.

Regarding Claim 1, Picco discloses a method comprising allowing the use of content (Col. 4, Lines 51-54) on a content receiver (See Figure 4 and Col. 5, Lines 10-16), collecting information about a characteristic of the receiver (Col. 6, Lines 34-37, Col. 10, Lines 58-62 and Col. 11, Lines 9-13) and providing that information to a remove processor-based system (Col. 7, Lines 6-26). The information is used to select, from an advertising database (Col. 6, Line 57 - Col. 7, Line 6), an advertising subset that is based on the characteristic of the receiver (Col. 7, Lines 28-32). The receiver receives the advertising subset and selectively chooses ads from the subset for storage (Col. 7, Lines 35-61 and Col. 8, Lines 7-16) and automatically interrupts the use of content to temporarily replace the content with an advertisement (Col. 6, Lines 23-31 and Col. 8, Lines 19-39).

Regarding Claim 2, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 1, wherein the set top box is operable to update content (Col. 7, Lines 35-48) by storing selected local content as stated above, including overwriting or removing selected content (Col.

Art Unit: 2611

10, Line 62- Col. 11, Line 1). This reads on the claimed combining the advertising subset with advertising available on the receiver.

Regarding Claim 3, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 1, wherein collecting information includes monitoring the activities of the user of the receiver (Col. 11, Lines 9-13).

Regarding Claim 4, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 3, wherein collecting information includes accumulating the collected data (Col. 11, Lines 9-13).

This accumulation of user data reads on the claimed developing a database of information about activities undertaken by the user of the receiver.

Regarding Claim 5, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 4, wherein advertisements are stored in a remote database and a subset of these ads are broadcast to user terminals for selective storage based on user profile information as stated above.

This reads on the claimed selecting advertisements stored on the remote processor-based system (ads from the head-end's database) based on information about the user of the receiver (user profile).

Regarding Claim 6, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 5, wherein advertisements available on the remote processor based system are broadcast to the terminals for storage as stated above. This reads on the claimed accessing of advertisements available on the remote processor-based system. It is inherent that the user's terminal must in some way catalog the data it has stored order to manage and retrieve the data (Col. 10, Lines 62-67). This reads on the claimed compiling a local electronic guide (catalog of files) to advertising resources by accessing (receiving and

storing) advertisements available on the remote processor-based system (head-end database of advertisements).

Regarding Claim 7, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 1, wherein the advertising has associated an associated content profile, which is compared to the user's profile for storage and playback (Col. 7, Line 55 – Col. 8, Line 22). This reads on the claimed determining a characteristic of advertising and comparing it to information about the use of the receiver.

Regarding Claim 8, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 1, wherein a user is operable to select television signals from satellite broadcasting for watching (Col. 5, Lines 10-16). The watching of satellite television signal reads on the claimed enabling a variety of content to be selected for play at any time.

Regarding Claims 9 and 10, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 1, wherein content has an expiration date (Col. 6, Lines 61-67) and a maximum number of times it may be viewed (Col. 7, Lines 1-2). Further, the terminal may remove or overwrite content (Col. 10, Line 62 – Col. 11, Line 1). This reads on the claimed automatically replacing (overwriting) the content with advertising after allowing content to be used for a predetermined amount of time (date or number of views). This further reads on the claimed automatic determination at predetermined times whether to replace the content.

Regarding Claim 11, see Claim 1 above.

Regarding Claims 12-19, see Claims 8, 3-7 and 9-10 respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,246

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding Claim 20, Picco discloses an article as stated above in Claim 11, further storing instructions that enable to receiver to catalog the advertisements it has stored as stated above. This reads on the claimed automatically compiling a receiver-based database of advertising.

Regarding Claim 21, see Claim 1 above. It is inherent that such a computer-based terminal must run programming in order to function. This reads on the claimed shell.

Regarding Claim 22, Picco discloses a method as stated above in Claim 21, wherein the system is a television receiver (Col. 5, Lines 12-16).

Regarding Claims 23-28, see Claims 9-10, 8, 3-4 and 6 above, respectively.

Regarding Claim 30, see Claim 6 above.

Regarding Claim 31, see Claim 28 above.

Regarding Claim 32, see Claim 28 above. Further, it is implicit that such television-based advertising must be in one or more known languages. This reads on the claimed advertisements specialized for a particular language.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2611

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew R Demicco whose telephone number is (571) 272-7293. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MPP

mrd

April 8, 2005